Record of proceedings dated 13.04.2015

O.P.No.2 of 2015

M/s. ITC Limited (Paper Boards and Speciality Paper Division), SecunderabadVs Telangana State Load Despatch Centre (State Agency) [TSLDC]

Petition filed u/s 86 (1) (e) of the Electricity Act, 2003 seeking direction to the SLDC to give accreditation to the petitioner's renewable energy project

Sri P. S. V. P. Anjaneyulu SE SLDC TSTRANSCO is present along with Sri. Y Rama Rao Advocate for respondent. There is no representation on behalf of petitioner. The Advocate on instructions from the respondent stated that respondents are in process of engaging him and he needs time to represent the matter before the Commission. Therefore he sought adjournment of the matter. Adjourned

Call on 22-06-2015 At 11:00 AM

Sd/- Sd/- Sd/- Member Chairman

O.P.No.3 of 2015

M/s. Geo Syndicate Power Private Limited, Mumbai VsThe Northern Power Distribution Company of Telangana (TSNPDCL), Warangal

Petition seeking determination of tariff for the supply of electricity generated from geothermal energy to respondent (APNPDCL now TSNPDCL) pursuant to Section 62, 64, 86.1 (a), 86.1(b) and other applicable provision of the Electricity Act, 2003

Sri. B. Krishna Reddy Advocate with the petitioner and Sri. T.Madhisudan GM TSNPDCL along Sri. Y Rama Rao, Advocate for respondent are present. The counsel for the petitioner filed a revised DPR for the project with a copy to the respondent. The Advocate on instructions from the respondent stated that respondents are in process of engaging him and he needs time to represent the matter before the Commission. Therefore he sought adjournment of the matter.Adjourned

Call on 22-06-2015 At 11:00 AM

O.P.No.4 of 2015

M/s Gayathri Sugars Ltd. Vs Govt.ofTelangana, TSNPDCL

Petition seeking determination of tariff for the project as the tariff mentioned in the power purchase agreement (PPA) is unviable.

Sri S.Rambabu, Counsel for petitioner and Sri. T.Madhisudan GM TSNPDCL along Sri. Y Rama Rao, Advocate for respondent are present. The counsel for the petitioner made submissions and also filed additional documents apart from the memo filed on 10.04.2015. The Advocate on instructions from the respondent stated that respondents are in process of engaging him and he needs time to represent the matter before the Commission. Therefore he sought adjournment of the matter. Adjourned

However, the Advocate for respondent is directed to make it clear as regards payment of variable cost to the petitioner by the next date of hearing.

Call on 29-04-2015 At 11:00 AM

Sd/- Sd/- Sd/- Member Chairman

O.P.No. 13 of 2015

M/s Global Energy Pvt. Ltd. Vs Nil

Petition seeking intra State Trading License for the State of Telangana.

Sri.P. Vikram Advocate and counsel seeking to appear for the petitioner and Sri. B Tagore counsel for the implead petitioner are present. Sri.Vikram while filing the vakalath for the petitioner has sought adjournment of the matter. On the other hand the counsel for implead petitioner has insisted for taking up implead petition. In view of the request of the counsel for the petitioner, the matter is adjourned along with implead petition.

Office is directed to issue notices to both the DISCOMS of the state of Telangana for their response to the petition.

Call on 22-06-2015 At 11:00 AM Sd/-Chairman

Sd/- Sd/-Member Member

R.P.(SR).No.1 of 2015

TSTRANSCO Vs Nil

Petition seeking review of the order dated 09.05.2014 determining the transmission tariff for the 3rd control period of 2014-2019

Sri. Y Rama Rao, Advocate seeking to appear for the petitioner is present. He has stated that he is instructed to appear for the DISCOMs only today morning and would like take instructions to appear in this matter on filing vakalath and arguing the matter. Therefore, sought adjournment of the same, adjourned.

Call on 22-06-2015 At 11:00 AM

Sd/-

Chairman

Sd/Member Member

O.P.No.5 of 2015

M/s Knowledge Infrastructure Systems Pvt.Ltd. and another Vs TSSPDCL its
Officers

Petition filed u/s 86 (1) (f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 claiming certain amounts due on account of supply of electricity under short term purchase for the months January, February and March 2013.

Sri T.NaveenChowdary, Advocate for Sri ChallaGunaranjan, Counsel for the petitioner and Sri Sri. T. Madhisudan GM TSNPDCL along with Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Advocate for respondent are present. Sri T.Naveen Chowdary, requested that the petition be adjourned to any other date as the counsel for the petitioner is unable to attend hearing due to pre-occupation. The Advocate on instructions from the respondent stated that respondents are in process of engaging him and he needs time to represent the matter before the Commission. Therefore he sought adjournment of the matter. In view of the submissions of the counsel for the parties, adjourned

Call on 22.06.2015 At 11:00 AM

O.P.No.6 of 2015

M/s Rithwik Power Projects Ltd. vs TSNPDCL

Petition filed seeking directions to the licensee for payment of tariff for the additional capacity of 1.5 MW at the rate being paid to existing 6 MW power plant.

Sri T.NaveenChowdary, Advocate for Sri ChallaGunaranjan, Counsel for the petitioner and Sri Sri. T. Madhisudan GM TSNPDCL along with Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Advocate for respondent are present. Sri T. Naveen Chowdary, requested that the petition be adjourned to any other date as the counsel for the petitioner is unable to attend hearing due to pre-occupation. The Advocate on instructions from the respondent stated that respondents are in process of engaging him and he needs time to represent the matter before the Commission. Therefore he sought adjournment of the matter. In view of the submissions of the counsel for the parties, adjourned

Call on 22-06-2015 At 11:00 AM

Sd/- Sd/- Sd/- Member Chairman

O.P.No.7 of 2015

M/s Shalivahana (MSW) Green Energy Ltd. vs TSLDC

Petition filed questioning the refusal of grant of accreditation MSW project underRPPO Regulation.

Sri T.NaveenChowdary, Advocate for Sri ChallaGunaranjan, Counsel for the petitioner and Sri Sri. T. Madhisudan GM TSNPDCL along with Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Advocate for respondent are present. Sri T. Naveen Chowdary, requested that the petition be adjourned to any other date as the counsel for the petitioner is unable to attend hearing due to pre-occupation. The Advocate on instructions from the respondent stated that respondents are in process of engaging him and he needs time to represent the matter before the Commission. Therefore he sought

adjournment of the matter. In view of the submissions of the counsel for the parties, adjourned

Call on 22-06-2015 At 11:00 AM

S d/- Sd/- Sd/Member Member Chairman

O.P.No. 1 of 2015

Garrison Engineer, HakimpetVs TSSPDCL

Petition seeking deemed distribution license

Sri.R. N. Yadav GE (AF) and Sri. S. K. Tiwari CWE (AF) for the petitioner and Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Advocate for respondent are present. The representative of the petitioner has stated that they would file a rejoinder to the comments of the DISCOM and therefore sought time. The Advocate on instructions from the respondent stated that respondents are in process of engaging him and he needs time to represent the matter before the Commission. Therefore he also sought adjournment of the matter. In view of the submissions of the parties, adjourned.

Office is directed to issue specific notice to the DISCOM for appearance and make submissions in the matter.

Call on 22-06-2015 At 11:00 AM

Sd/-Sd/-Sd/-MemberMemberChairman

O.P.No. 8 of 2015

Garrison Engineer, Dundigal Vs TSSPDCL

Petition seeking deemed distribution license

Sri. S. K. Tiwari CWE (AF) for the petitioner and Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Advocate for respondent are present. The representative of the petitioner has stated that they would file a rejoinder to the comments of the DISCOM and therefore sought time. The Advocate on instructions from the respondent stated that respondents are in process of engaging him and he needs time to represent the matter before the Commission. Therefore he also sought adjournment of the matter. In view of the submissions of the parties, adjourned.

Office is directed to issue specific notice to the DISCOM for appearance and make submissions in the matter.

Call on 22-06-2015 At 11:00 AM

Sd/- Sd/- Sd/- Member Chairman

O.P.No. 9 of 2015

Garrison Engineer, Golconda Vs TSSPDCL Petition seeking deemed distribution license

Sri.Maj S. G. Takaras andSri. Y. Rama Rao, Advocate for respondent are present. The representative of the petitioner has stated that they would file a rejoinder to the comments of the DISCOM and therefore sought time. The Advocate on instructions from the respondent stated that respondents are in process of engaging him and he needs time to represent the matter before the Commission. Therefore he also sought adjournment of the matter. In view of the submissions of the parties, adjourned.

Office is directed to issue specific notice to the DISCOM for appearance and make submissions in the matter.

Call on 22-06-2015 At 11:00 AM

O.P.No.10 of 2015

M/s Sundew Properties Ltd. vs TSSPDCL

Petition seeking deemed distribution license.

Sri P.Sriraghu Ram senior counsel along with officers of the petitioner and Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Advocate for respondent are present. The senior counsel stated that the matter originally heard in October 2014. The Advocate on instructions from the respondent stated that respondents are in process of engaging him and he needs time to represent the matter before the Commission. Therefore he also sought adjournment of the matter. In view of the submissions of the parties, adjourned.

Call on 30.04.2015 At 11:00 AM

Sd/- Sd/- Sd/- Member Chairman

O.P.No. 11 of 2015

M/s SLT Power &Infrstructure Projects Pvt.Ltd. Vs Govt.ofTelangana, TSTRANSCO, TSSPDCL & NREDCAP

Petition seeking directions to apply the tariff determined on 22.06.2013 in respect of the industrial waste project of 3.5 MW of the petitioner in terms of order of Hon'ble ATE dated 20.12.2012.

Sri Pratap, Advocate and Sri Vijayabhaskar Reddy, petitioner's representativealong with Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Advocate for respondent are present. The counsel for the petitioner sought to bring to the notice of the Commission that the unit is under seizure as a non performing asset, it would be soldany time and therefore there is urgency of the matter being heard. The Advocate on instructions from the respondent stated that respondents are in process of engaging him and he needs time to represent the matter before the Commission. Therefore he also sought adjournment of the matter. In view of the submissions of the parties, adjourned.

Call on 30.04.2015 At 11:00 AM

O.P.No. 39 of 2015

M/s Reliance Infrastructure Ltd. vs Discoms& APPCC

Petition u/s 86 (1) (f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 seeking to restrain the DISCOMS from deducting from the monthly bills amounts towards deemed generation.

Sri. J. J. Bhat Senior Advocate along with Sri. P Vikram Advocate on record along with Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Advocate for respondent are present. The senior Advocate stated that the arguments were submitted by him on the aspect of jurisdiction and merits the case. The counsel who was earlier on record stated that he would argue the matter on the next occasion. Now the said counsel is not present.

On the other hand the Advocate appearing for respondents has stated that the counsel appearing in the matter is out of station and the DISCOMs have to make up their mind either to continue the earlier counsel or to engage him. By the next date of hearing there would be clarity and he would definitely argue the matter without fail. Thus he sought adjournment of the matter.

In view of the position explained the matter is adjourned

Call on 30.04.2015 At 11:00 AM

Sd/- Sd/- Sd/- Member Chairman

OP No.44 of 2015 & IA No.09 of 2015

APPCC & Discoms vs Reliance Infrastructure Ltd.

Petition filed u/s 86 (1) (f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 in the matter of 'ineligibility of availability declarations with alternate fuel (naphtha / HSD) by the respondent, after the year 2009, and for deletion of alternate fuel clause'

Sri. J. J. Bhat Senior Advocate along with Sri. P Vikram Advocate on record along with Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Advocate for respondent are present. The senior Advocate stated that the arguments were submitted by him on the aspect of jurisdiction and merits the case. The counsel who was earlier on record stated that he would argue the matter on the next occasion. Now the said counsel is not present.

On the other hand the Advocate appearing for respondents has stated that the counsel appearing in the matter is out of station and the DISCOMs have to make up their mind either to continue the earlier counsel or to engage him. By the next date of hearing there would be clarity and he would definitely argue the matter without fail. Thus he sought adjournment of the matter.

Call on 30.04.2015 At 11:00 AM

Sd/- Sd/- Sd/Member Member Chairman

O.P.No. 58 of 2015 and I.A.No. 12 of 2015

Reliance Infrastructure Ltd vs DISCOMs & APPCC

Petition filed by the petitioner u/s 86 (1) (f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 seeking declaration that HSD is a alternative fuel in terms of Article 1.1.27 of the PPA and refund the unauthorized deductions of Rs. 96,68,92,198/- made by the respondents from the bills payable to the petitioner.

Sri. J. J. Bhat Senior Advocate along with Sri. P Vikram Advocate on record along with Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Advocate for respondent are present. The senior Advocate stated that the arguments were submitted by him on the aspect of jurisdiction and merits the case. The counsel who was earlier on record stated that he would argue the matter on the next occasion. Now the said counsel is not present.

On the other hand the Advocate appearing for respondents has stated that the counsel appearing in the matter is out of station and the DISCOMs have to make up their mind either to continue the earlier counsel or to engage him. By the next date of hearing there would be clarity and he would definitely argue the matter without fail. Thus he sought adjournment of the matter.

Call on 30.04.2015 At 11:00 AM